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## Ludvig Norman

Ludvig Norman (1831-1885) var en central gestalt i svenskt musikliv från 1850-talet fram till sin bortgång. Även om samtiden inte alltid uppskattade hans initiativ, värderas Normans insatser med rätta högt i musikhistorieskrivningen. Som tonsättare, dirigent, pedagog, skriftställare och konsertarrangör bidrog Ludvig Norman kort sagt till att förnya svenskt musikliv.

Norman var stockholmare. Redan i unga år undervisades han i pianospel av Vilhelmina Josephson som var vän till familjen, senare av Theodor Stein och Jan van Boom. Norman tog också lektioner i musikteori av Adolf Fredrik Lindblad. Han fortsatte sina studier vid Musikkonservatoriet i Leipzig, där han hade lärare som Ignaz Moscheles (piano), Moritz Hauptmann (kontrapunkt) och Julius Rietz (komposition). Intrycken från åren i Leipzig gav Norman viktiga musikaliska impulser som han efter hemkomsten arbetade för att sprida.

Tillbaka i Stockholm försörjde han som pianolärare, men framträdde också som pianist. Han skrev musikkritik utifrån den estetiska ståndpunkt han vunnit i Leipzig. 1858 blev han lärare vid Musikkonservatoriet (komposition, instrumentation och partiturläsning), en syssla han med vissa avbrott upprätthöll till 1882. Norman började dirigera Hovkapellet 1861 och utnämndes till hovkapellmästare året därpå. Som ledare för denna orkester satsade han energiskt på konsertverksamhet, detta parallellt med operaföreställningarna.

Ludvig Norman började komponera i unga år och kunde efter studieåren verka som en mycket välutbildad och för sin tid modern tonsättare. Hans produktion kom att bli omfattande och fördelad på många verktyper. Normans tre symfonier är viktiga verk i svensk symfonik, samma ställning har hans sex stråkkvartetter i svensk kammarmusik.

Gunnar Ternhag
© Gunnar Ternhag, Levande Musikarv

## Symfoni nr 3

Ludvig Norman slutförde sin tredje symfoni, d-moll, op. 58, sommaren 1881, men den kom att uruppföras först vid den minneskonsert som ägnades honom på Kungl. Teatern den 14 november 1885. Den publicerades samtidigt i partitur och stämmor av Musikaliska Konstföreningen. Verket mottogs positivt, och den ledande kritikern Adolf Lindgren skrev:
"Normans sista symfoni är ett präktigt arbete och säkerligen den bästa han gjort, enär den med F-dur-symfonins klara form och friska humor förenar Ess-dur-symfonins djupa och kraftiga innehåll, utan att ega dennas tyngd".

Den fyrsatsiga symfonins första sats rymmer en dramatik som knappast något annat stycke av Norman. Huvudtemats kärna presenteras av unisona stråkar i pianissimo för att vid den egentliga formuleringen återkomma i forte och med rytmiskt accentuerat ackompanjemang. Det låter sig liksom det graciösa och elastiska andratemat omskapas i både lågmälda och kraftfulla passager. Båda idéerna förses också med såväl kompletterande som kontrasterande element och visar sig därmed innehålla dynamiskt stoff för flera olika karaktärer, och huvudtemat uppträder även i klaraste dur. Genom att dessa teman så intensivt bearbetas redan i expositionen blir satsens genomföring tämligen kort och även återtagningen har förkortats och därmed koncentrerats och spänningsladdat varierats.

Andra satsen, i B-dur, byggs upp av kontrasterande avsnitt som dock samverkar på ett närmast episkt sätt, samtidigt som den lyriskt-sångbara atmosfären dominerar. Förstatemat som presenteras av klarinetter och fagotter är närmast hymniskt, och ett centralt parti utgörs av en lugnt deklamerad bleckblåsarsats vars melodi bearbetas i ett fritt fugato. Ännu en ingrediens är ett smidigt agitato-tema som första gången uppträder i g-moll.

Den tredelade tredje satsen, i just g-moll, kan närmast betecknas som ett scherzo-artat intermezzo som rymmer både humoristiskt godmodiga och smått kapriciösa inslag
och därtill en viss rustik ton. Mellandelen i Ess-dur är stramare men samtidigt mer melodiskt avrundad. Den i hela verket medvetet baskompletterande pukstämman får i satsens huvuddel sällskap av elegant insatta triangelslag.

Finalen, i D-dur, inleds med ett kort modulerande överledningsparti och är liksom första satsen hållen i sonatform, och huvudtemat tycks anspela på finalen i Schumanns första symfoni. Men både till det energiska huvudtemat och till det varmt sjungande sidotemat låter Norman klart utmejslade motstämmor växa fram, och de visar sig bli alltmer självständiga och bidra till satsens trots flera mollinslag alltmer optimistiska hållning. Återigen är genomföringen tämligen kort och i återtagningen, som överraskande inleds med en variant av det nämnda överledningspartiet, blir kopplingen mellan huvud- och sångtema än tätare.

Instrumentationen kan genom det flitiga användandet av blecket stundom förefalla något kompakt, men den står helt i satsinnehållets tjänst och är befriad från den dragning åt det alltför linjetäta som kännetecknade Ess-dursymfonin och gav den dess "tyngd". I stället är övergångarna mellan blåsare och stråkar nu smidiga och väl avvägda, och det kontrapunktiskt rika inre linjespelet förstärks av tydligt tecknade blåsarinsatser.
© Lennart Hedwall, Levande Musikarv

## Ludvig Norman

Ludvig Norman (1831-1885) was a key figure of the Swedish music scene from the 1850 s until his death. Even if contemporary music-goers did not always appreciate his initiatives, his contributions are highly valued by music historians. As a composer, conductor, teacher, author and concert arranger, one could say that Ludvig Norman helped to bring fresh life to the Swedish music scene.

Norman was born and bred in Stockholm. As a young boy he was taught piano by Vilhelmina Josephson, who was a friend of the family, and later by Theodor Stein and Jan van Boom. He also took lessons in music theory for Adolf Fredrik Lindblad. He continued his studies at the Leipzig Music Conservatory, where his teachers included Ignaz Moscheles (piano), Moritz Hauptmann (counterpoint) and Julius Rietz (composition). The impression that these years in Leipzig made on Norman gave him important musical inspiration that he endeavoured to spread on his return to Sweden.

Back in Stockholm, he made a living as a piano teacher and a performer, and wrote articles of music criticism from the aesthetic perspective he had gained in Leipzig. In 1858 he became a teacher at the Royal Conservatory of Music in Stockholm (composition, orchestration and score-reading), an occupation that he pursued, with the exceptional break, until 1882. Norman started to conduct the Royal Court Orchestra in 1861 and was appointed hovkapellmästare (chief conductor of the Royal Court Orchestra) the following year. As director of this orchestra he invested considerable time and energy in concert performances alongside its opera obligations.

Ludvig Norman started to compose as a young man and was able to work as a consummately trained and for his time modern composer after completing his studies. His oeuvre came to be an extensive and varied one. Norman's three symphonies are important inclusions in the Swedish symphony genre, as are his six string quartets in Swedish chamber music.

## © Gunnar Ternhag, Levande Musikarv

Transl. Neil Betteridge

## Symphony No 3

Ludvig Norman completed his third symphony in D minor op. 58 in the summer of 1881. However, the work did not have its premier until 14 November 1885 during a memorial concert devoted to him at the Royal Opera. The symphony was simultaneously published with both score and parts by the Swedish Art Music Society. The composition was well received and the leading music critic of the time, Adolf Lindgren wrote: 'Norman's last symphony is a splendid work and certainly the best he has created, since it, with the F major symphony's clear form and healthy humour unites the E-flat major symphony's deep and powerful content, without being as ponderous.'

The four-movement symphony's first part takes on a dramatic tone unlike any of Norman's other pieces. The core of the main theme is presented by the pianissimo unison playing of the strings, to return later in its actual realisation in forte and with a rhythmically accentuated accompaniment. It allows itself, as does the graceful and elastic secondary theme, to be recreated in both attenuated and powerful passages. These ideas are provided with complementary as well as contrasting elements, revealing the dynamic material's several different dispositions - the main theme even appears in the clearest major tones. As these themes are already so intensively developed in the exposition, the development of the movement becomes fairly brief and even the recapitulation has been shortened, thus concentrated in form and varied with excitement.
The second movement in B-flat major is built on contrasting sections that, on the other hand, interact in an almost epic manner, while at the same time being dominated by a lyrical sing-ability. The first theme, which is presented by the clarinets and bassoons, comes close to being hymn-like, and a calmly declared brass segment, whose melody develops into a free fugato, creates a fundamental part. One more ingredient is a smooth agitato theme that is at first performed in $G$ minor.
The three-part third movement, in $G$ minor, can best be described as a scherzo-like intermezzo that accommodates both humorous, good-natured and somewhat whimsical elements, as well as a certain rustic tone. The middle part in E-flat major is more austere while at the same time more melodically completed. The whole symphony is consciously complimented with a kettledrum that is joined in this movement's main section by elegantly placed triangle work.

The finale in D major begins with a short modulating bridge section and is, like the first movement, in sonata form, and the main theme seems to allude to the finale in Schumann's first symphony. However, in both the energetic main theme and the warm cantabile secondary theme, Norman allows clearly chiselled counterparts to emerge, which then become increasingly self-reliant contributions to the movement and, despite several minor-sounding elements, give a more optimistic feeling. Once again the development is brief. In the recapitulation, which surprisingly begins with a variant of the above-mentioned bridge section, the connection between the main theme and the song theme is denser.

The instrumentation can, because of the frequent use of the brass, at times seem somewhat compact. However, it stands completely in the service of the music and is freed from the tendency toward the tightness that characterised the E-flat major symphony and gave it its 'ponderousness'. Instead, the transitions between the wind instruments and the strings are now smooth and well balanced, and the clearly written wind parts enhance the rich counterpoint-like internal musical lines.

## Critical Commentary

The manuscript of the Ludvig Norman's 3rd symphony seems to have been produced with different degrees of care: While the notes themselves are written very clearly and with extraordinarily few errors throughout the piece, dynamics, articulations and most of all ties and slurs were apparently added in a rush and leave much room for interpretation. Slurs often end in mid-air as it were or overlap. Doubling instruments hardly ever phrase in the same way, nor do parallel passages respond with each other in that sense. Stylistically it seems unlikely that Norman had an experimental way of phrasing in mind. One rather gets the sense that he was planning to give more attention to this part of the composition during the proof-reading stages of the publication to which it probably didn't come.

The mistakes and obvious misreadings in the first edition suggest that the composer was for whatever reason not involved in the publication and it was only of limited use in preparing this new edition. It did however provide a second opinion in passages of extreme unclarity and it was of general stylistic interest because it was published not long after the composition.

We wanted to provide a score and orchestral material that would be ready for performance and would facilitate for this delightful piece to be rediscovered by musicians around the world. To achieve this we had to take many editorial decisions to unify the text or to clarify intended differences in markings.

Trills: For longer trills Norman writes a new trill-sign over every new bar. Even for drum rolls. It is however to be presumed that he doesn't mean for the performer to start a new trill or a new roll each bar. We modernised the notation with long trills over barlines, writing new trills only if the note changes or if the new trill coincides with an accent in the rest of the orchestra.
$f z$ or $s f$ : No logic can be derived from Norman's marking of these two dynamics. While in the dictionary they are listed as abbreviations for the same word "sforzato" they do instinctively draw different executions from most musicians (fz being slightly stronger). Even though we suspect that for Norman the two markings mean the same thing we decided to keep the differences intact. We did however avoid differing notation within the same chord or the same passage. In those instances we always matched the marking to the one that dominates in number, e.g. if there were more sf we changed the fewer $f z$ to $s f$ and vice versa.

## I. Allegro appassionato ma non troppo presto

| 6 | $1.2 \cdot \mathrm{Hr}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 8 | Bn |
| $9-10$ | Bn |
| $10-11$ | Winds |
|  |  |
| $11-12$ | $1.2 . \mathrm{Hr}$ |
| $11-13$ |  |
| 12 | Tr |
| 13 | $\mathrm{Bn}, 1.2 \cdot \mathrm{Hr}$ |
|  | Bn |
| $14-16$ | $3.4 . \mathrm{Hr}$ |
| 15 | $3.4 . \mathrm{Hr}$ |
| $15-16$ | $1.2 \cdot \mathrm{Hr}$ |
|  | Cl |
| 17 | $1 . \mathrm{Trb}$ |
|  | Fl |
| 18 | Trbs |
| 19 | $1.2 . \mathrm{Hr}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{Bn}, 1.2 . \mathrm{Hr}$ |
| 21 | Vla |
| 22 | Bn |
| 22 | Vla |
|  | $3.4 . \mathrm{Hr}$ |


| 25 | $\mathrm{Vla}, \mathrm{Vc}, \mathrm{Db}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 26 | $1.2 . \mathrm{Hr}$ |
| 27 | Brass |
| $27-28$ | $2 . \mathrm{Winds}$ |

Brass
$\mathrm{Vc}, \mathrm{Db}$
$\mathrm{Ob}, \mathrm{Cl}$
Bn
$\mathrm{Ob}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Bn}$
1.2.Hr

30
Vla, Vc
32
Db
35-36
38
Bn
3.4.Hr

38-39
Ob, 1.2.Hr

42
1.2. Hr
$\mathrm{Cl}, 1 . \mathrm{Bn}$
3.Trb

Bn
44-48
slur added to match Cl
accents added
slur deleted
it is not clear if the upbeat should be under the slur. However the upbeat to $b$.
12 seems to suggest that
hairpin only up to $b .12$ to match winds.
Brass phrases differently
hairpin added to match $3.4 . \mathrm{Hr}$
accents added to match winds
$f z$ added to match Trb
accents added to match Tr
accents added to match Tr
accents added
accents added
slur added to match Bn
accent instead of $f z$ like the other winds accents added to match tutti
tie added
$f_{z}$ removed to match $\mathrm{Tbs}, \mathrm{Vc}, \mathrm{Db}$
$f z$ added to match winds
$s f$ added to match Brass
accent added t match Hr
in A there is an accents here and no tie
from the previous bar. changed to match brass and basses
accents added to match winds
slur over F-E removed to match Tr
hairpins completed
dots and accents added in 2.winds to match Bn
dots added to match Winds
dots added
dots added to match Fl
dots continued
dots added but no accent
in A this bar is empty and there is a full bar rest
dot added
accent deleted as redundant with the $s f$
$s f$ and slur added to match Vla
slur added to match $2 . \mathrm{Ob}$
slurring adjusted to match Cl and Vls
in earlier passage
accents added
accents added to match Fl
in A there appears to be a rest after the first beat, However the first beat also is dotted. For practical reasons in performance we decided for the rest $f$ added
tenuto continued until Norman writes a dot on the 1.beat

|  | Bn | ten. and dot (b.48) added |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 46 | Winds, Hrs | slur to next bar added to match b. 42 |
| 46-48 | $3.4 . \mathrm{Hr}$ | slur added |
| 47 | 3.4.Hr | accents added to match winds |
|  | $3 . \mathrm{Tb}$ | ten. added to match Str and Bn |
| 49 | 1.2.Vl | dot added |
| 50 | $\mathrm{Ob}, \mathrm{Cl}$ | slurs added |
| 51 | Bn, Strings | slur added |
| 53 | Strings | dot added |
| 56-57 | 1.Bn | slur added over two bars |
| 58 | 2.Bn | slurred to next bar matching Cl |
| 63 | $\mathrm{Fl}, \mathrm{Cl}$ | hairpin added to match Vl |
| 64 | Vla, Vc, Db | hairpin added to match V |
| 66 | $\mathrm{Vc}, \mathrm{Db}$ | accent added |
| 67 | Cl | tie added |
| 67-68 | Vc, Db | hairpin added |
| 67-70 | Ob, 1.2. Hr | accents added |
| 69 | Tutti | Original marking in A reads molto cresc. poco a poco alff. since this crescendo only lasts four bars toning it down to molto cresc. and a hairpin seems more appropriate |
| 69-70 | Vc, Db | slur extended to b. 70 |
| 72 | Vc | there might be a dot on the last quarter which could be extended to everyone playing a quarter on the last beat but it seems too much of a stretch |
| 71-72 | $\mathrm{Ob}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Bn}, \mathrm{Hr}$ | slurring unified |
| 73 | Bn | accents added to match Vc and Db |
|  | 1.2.Hr | accent added to match winds |
|  | Vla | accents added to match Vc |
| 74 | 3.Trb | accents removed to match $\mathrm{Bn}, \mathrm{Vc}$ and Db |
| 75 | Bn | accents added to match Vc and Db |
|  | 1.2.Hr | accent added to match Hr and Tr |
|  | 1.Trb | accents added |
| 75-76 | $\mathrm{Fl}, \mathrm{Ob}, \mathrm{Cl}$ | ambiguous slurring in A . Ob maybe also Fl seem to slur over two bars, Cl not. But b. 80 confirms the two-bar-slur. Difference between staccato $\mathrm{Vc}, \mathrm{Db}$ and legato Bn left intact |
| 76 | 1.Vl | the slur from the bar before seems to arrive on the first eighth of 76 and there appears to be no repetition dash on that note either. All of these triplet passages seem to be connected by slur to the following bar. This is however highly impractical when performing and in b. 80 the repetition dash on the first eighth is there which suggests that it is an oversight in in b.76. We resolved this by slurring to the next bar when it contains only a quarter note and the rests but to stop the slur before the next bar when repeated eights are following |
| 77 | 1.Fl | marcato added and slur extended over the whole theme |
|  | Ob <br> 1.Trb | accent added to match brass accents added |


| 78 | Ob | $f z$ added to match 1.Trb |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3.4.Hr | $s f$ added to mach 1.Trb, accent added to match Fl |
| 79 | Vla | accent added to match Hr |
|  | $\mathrm{Cv}, \mathrm{Db}$ | slur interrupted after the tied C to match Bn and tutti |
| 81 | 1.Trb, 3.4.Hr | accents added to match $3.4 . \mathrm{Hr}$. marcato added to both |
| 82-84 | Bn, 2.3.Trb, Vc, B | accents and marc. added wherever missing |
| 83 | Cl | accents added to match Ob |
|  | Bn | accents completed to match Vc |
|  | $\mathrm{Vc}, \mathrm{Db}$ | accents added |
| 86 | 3.Trb, Vc, Db | slur added to match Bn |
| 88-89 | Winds, 1.2.Hr | hairpins and $p$ unified and matched to strings |
| 92 | 1.2. Hr | slur added to match Cl |
| 96 | Cl | slur added to match Fl |
| 106 | Db | page break in A between b .106 and b . 107. In 107 there appears to be a tie from the previous bar which is however an empty bar. Because the pedal point in Vc starts already in b. 106 this was added in Db as well |
| 107 | 2. Vl | slur changed to start form first beat. |
| 109 | 2.Vl | inconclusive slurring in M. Slur interrupted after first beat |
| 112 | Vla | slur added reflecting Fl and Cl |
| 114 | Vc | sloppy slurring in A clarified |
| 123 | 3.Trb | slur changed to start from first beat |
| 125 | $\mathrm{Fl}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Vl}$ | staccato dot added on top of the bar to clarify the phrasing |
| 125-126 | Bn | slur starts only from the F to match Vla and Hr |
| 125-128 | Cl | slurring adjusted to match Vls |
| 127-128 | 1.2.3.4.Hr | slur corrected to start already on the 2.beat of 127, matching Trb, Vla and Vc |
|  |  | slur prolonged to the last note of the bar |
| 128 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fl, Cl } \\ & \mathrm{Bn} \end{aligned}$ | accent added to match VI <br> slur prolonged by one note to match Vc |
| 130-131 | Cl | 2. Cl slurs differently in A . Adjusted to match tutti |
| 131-134 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Bn} \\ & \mathrm{Vc}, \mathrm{Db} \end{aligned}$ | accents added to match Vc and Db Only the first two accents of this passage exist in A |
| 134-135 | Bn | slurring adjusted to match Vc and Db |
| 138 | Ob | hairpin added |
| 143 | $2 . \mathrm{Ob}$ | $p p$ added |
| 146 | $\mathrm{Fl}, \mathrm{Cl}$ | very hard to read Cl in this place. A |
|  |  | sharp in front of the first note of the |
|  |  | second clarinet is missing in A. In MK |
|  |  | both Fl and both Cl play all 5 eights |
|  |  | notes of the passage. Although that is |
|  |  | clearly not the case in A. It looks as |
|  |  | though Cl originally only played the last |
|  |  | Norman then forget to do the same for |


| 150 | Fl |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Db |
| 166 | $2 . \mathrm{Ob}$ |
| 168 | Ob |
| 171 | Winds, Strings |
| 171-174 | Hrs, Brass |
| 176 | 3.Trb |
| 176-179 | $\mathrm{Ob}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Bn}$ |
| 181-183 | 1.Vl |
|  | 2. Vl |
| 182 | $\mathrm{Bn}, 1.2 . \mathrm{Hr}, 2 . \mathrm{Vl}, \mathrm{Db}$ |
| 182-185 | 2.Vl |
| 182-186 | Db |
| 183 | Bn |
| 184 | Vla |
| 184-189 | Vla |
| 185 | $\mathrm{Fl}, \mathrm{Cl}$ |
| 185-187 | Vc |
| 187 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Fl}, \mathrm{Ob}, \mathrm{Cl} \\ & \mathrm{Bn} \end{aligned}$ |
| 187-189 | Bn |
| 187-189 | Bn |
| 187-194 | $\mathrm{Fl}, \mathrm{Ob}, \mathrm{Cl}$ |
| 189 | 2.Bn |
| 189 | Tutti |
| 190-194 | Vla, Vc |
| 191 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2. } \mathrm{Bn} \\ & 1.2 . \mathrm{VI} \end{aligned}$ |

2.Fl? In b. 358 both instruments play which is why we follow MK in this case. $p$ added
hard to read. MK has 1.Fl starting only from the second eighth. But after comparison with Vl this solution seems most probable and the scribbling in A allows this interpretation
furioso added
the writing is unclear in A but it makes most sense if $2 . \mathrm{Ob}$ plays an octave below 1.Ob
2. Ob two tied quarter notes G changed a half note matching 1.2. Hr there are no accents wherever Norman writes tenuto. He does however write accents in the brass for the same musical material and for all subsequent bars. Since this way of marking seems to be consistent it was preserved even though an accent under the ten. would make sense as well
$f$ and accents filled in everywhere $s f$ added
slurs added to match Fl
ambiguous slurring in A. Resolved according to the beginning, the dot being a suggestion by the editor because there is one in b .185 in Bn slur added
$m f$ added
slur added to match $\mathrm{Bn}, \mathrm{Hr}$ and Db
slur added
hairpin added
$m f$ added
slurring adjusted to match winds and Vc $p$ changed to $m f$ to match tutti. No apparent reason for a $p$. Possibly it was a leftover from an earlier compositional stage
slurring, dots and accents changed to match Bn and Tutti
accents added to match Vls accents added
hairpin added like in Fl and Cl
slurring adjusted to match Vc
inconclusive slurring. Ultimately resolved by matching to Vls while preserving some of the irregularities: b . 190 separated differing from b. 188 and b.202. Unified slurring to match that decision
slur interrupted to match Vla and $2 . \mathrm{Hr}$ $f$ added as a point of reference for the long crescendo
slurring unified to match winds slur to next bar like in Vla. Accent added accents added because of winds

| 197 | Strings | In A there is a hairpin over two bars from b. 195 and then a sempre diminuendo al $p p$. Since the $p p$ arrives already after another two bars we decided to remove the sempre diminuendo and simply prolong the hairpin to make the text visually less cluttered |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 197-199 | 1.2.Vl | ten. continued for another two bars |
| 201 | 1.2.Hr | a second marking of soli and $p p$ was removed. Originally Hr started playing only here |
| 207 | Timp | dynamics added |
| 211 | $\mathrm{Fl}, \mathrm{Ob}, \mathrm{Fl}$ | $s f$ added as in b.209. There is a pagebreak here in M which might explain why Norman forgot it |
| 216 | Ob | $p$ added |
| 217-219 | 2.Vl | dot and slur added/adjusted to match $1 . \mathrm{Vl}$ in the following bars |
| 218 | $\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Bn}$ | $p$ added |
| 220 | 1.Fl | solo added |
| 222-230 | Tutti | very ambiguous und inconsistent slurring. Adjusted in many places always matching instruments doubling each other. For exact differences consult A |
| 222 | Ob | $p$ added as a reminder |
| 223 | Bn | $p$ added as a reminder |
| 223-228 | Cl | very unclear marking in A |
| 225-228 | $\mathrm{Vc}, \mathrm{Db}$ | slurs added to match winds and Hr |
| 228 | $\mathrm{Vc}, \mathrm{Db}$ | slur changed to start already on F as this theme is usually phrased like this |
| 228-230 | Bn | slur added |
| 229-230 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Vla}, \mathrm{Vc}, \mathrm{Db} \\ & \mathrm{Ob}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Bn}, 1.2 . \mathrm{Hr} \end{aligned}$ | hairpins added. In A only in Vls hairpins added |
| 232-234 | Cl | slur to the next bar like Fl and Bn |
| 240 | Strings | sempre in M only in 1.Vl |
| 253 | $\mathrm{Fl}, \mathrm{Ob}, \mathrm{Cl}$ | accents added to match Hr |
| 253-254 | $3.4 . \mathrm{Hr}, \mathrm{Tr}$ | hairpin added to match winds |
| 251-255 | Timp | hairpin and $p p$ added to match tutti |
| 254 | Bn, 1.2.Trb | hairpins added |
| 255 | Tutti | $p p$ changed to $p p p$, which is a slightly controversial decision since there are no other $p p p$ in the piece. However the pp and diminuendo seemed to suggest that |
| 255-256 | Brass | slurring different from strings seems intentional in this place |
| 257 | Cl | slur added to match Fl |
|  | 1.2.Hr | accent on first beat in Horns (A) seems out of place |
| 258 | 3.Hr | slur added |
| 259 | 3.Trb | slur to next bar removed to match other brass |
| 261 | Tr, Timp Trb | hairpin added <br> slur must go until the second beat |
| 262 | Vc | slur added |
| $263-264$ 265 | Tr Trbs | slur added. However without matching winds but rather the brass in b.255-256 accents added |

Tutti
266
$267 \quad \mathrm{Bn}$

270
270-271
271
272
273
275
276-277
277
280
285
287
288
289
290

291
292
294
295
296
297-298
298
300
299-301
301
302

304

305
305-306
308-310
308-311
3.4.Hr, 1.2.Trb
1.2.Trb
2.Trb
$\mathrm{Ob}, \mathrm{Cl}$
1.2. Hr
$\mathrm{Fl}, \mathrm{Ob}, \mathrm{Cl}$
Fl
Ob
Trbs
1.2. $\mathrm{Vl}, \mathrm{Vla}$

Tr
Bn, Hr, Vla, Vc, Db
1.2. Hr
1.Cl

Vc
Bn
2. Vl
$\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Bn}$
Vc

Ob
1.2. Hr
3.4.Hr

Ob
1.2. Hr
3.4.Hr
3.4. Hr
3.4.Hr

Bn
2.Trb
$\mathrm{Ob}, \mathrm{Cl}$
$\mathrm{Bn}, \mathrm{Hr}, 1$ 1.2.Trb
Bn
2.Vl, Vla
$\mathrm{Bn}, 3.4 . \mathrm{Hr}$
Tr
3.Trb

Ob
Vla, Vc
Db
2.Bn, 3.4.Hr, Trbs
$\mathrm{Fl}, \mathrm{Ob}$
very inconstant marking of staccato throughout this passage. Dots filled in wherever missing
slur corrected to start from top of the bar as in Fl
accent under slur removed
accent added to match 1.2. Hr
$s f$ added
slur added to match 1.Trb
slur and $s f$ added to match winds
slur added to match Fl
accent removed
slur missing in A
dot added to match Hrs and winds
$s f$ added to match 1.2. Hr
slur and $s f$ added as in Hr
dot and $s f$ added to match Vls
$s f$ added
natural is missing in A
slur matched to Vla
$p$ added as a reminder
slur added
dim. added
unclear slurring in A. Slur corrected to go up to first beat of this bar as in Bn hairpin added
accents added to match Cl
accents added
accents added
accents added
dots added
slurs added
dots added
slur change to match brass
slur moved back to match $1 . \mathrm{Trb}$ and Hr
accents added as in Fl
accent added on second quarter
There appears to be full bar A-octave written in the same bar alongside the eighth notes. Neither is crossed out. but since the eighths are an important doubling to the trombones, we decided to keep them and remove the long note. Hairpin added
hairpins added
slur added to match Vc
in A the second beat is a doted quarter
followed by an eighth. However this same rhythm has been corrected in Hr
to be even quarters. Also Ob and Cl
have even quarters on the same line.
Changed to match
slur removed
accent added
accents added
hairpin added
hairpins added to match 1.2. Hr
slurring adjusted to match Vls

| 320 | Bn | $p$ added as a reminder. Slurring changed to match Ob |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1.2.Hr | $p$ removed as redundant |
| 320-321 | Hr | in $M$ the swell appears only in b. 322 and only in $3.4 . \mathrm{Hr}$. Added to $1.2 . \mathrm{Hr}$ and to all four Hr in the next bar |
| 333 | 4. Hr | long D stops with b. 334 in A. prolonged for two beats to match $2 . \mathrm{Ob}$ |
|  | 1.Vl | the $m f$ in the beginning of the bar in A seems to be a mistake since the hairpin starts here and leads to a $m f$ two bars later. Removed |
| 333-334 | 2.Vl, Vla, Vc | hairpin added |
| 335 | 3.4.Hr | hairpin changed int e cresc. to unify the layout |
| 339-340 | Tr, 1.Trb | $f f$ and $s f$ added |
| 343-346 | 2.Trb | slurring changed to match Vla |
| 344 | Vc | the low A seems to stop with the previous bar in A. However the tie clearly continues and there is an A-pedal in the bassoons. It was hence added in the cellos as well. To avoid an awkward jump during the pedal point the tenor clef for first cellos was removed and the passage remains notated in bass clef |
| 344-345 | 1.Trb | slurring changed to match Ob and tutti |
| 345-346 | Vla | slur added |
| 347 | Vla | $p$ added |
| 351-353 | Timp | dynamics added |
| 352 | 1.Vl | third eighth-note must be B-flat (no accidental in A) |
| 354 | Vc | slur not continued to the next bar to match Db |
| 356 | 1.Bn | $m f$ changed to $p$ like Fl and everybody else |
| 360 | $2 . \mathrm{Ob}$ | it must be B-natural (B-flat in $\underline{\text { A }}$ ) |
| 361 | Trbs | slurs added |
|  | Vc | slur added |
|  | $\mathrm{Fl}, \mathrm{Ob}$ | $p p$ added |
|  | Cl | $p$ changed to $p p$ |
| 361-362 | Bn | in A notated a third higher. Obviously wrong |
| 362 | Bn | $p p$ added to match tutti |
| 363-364 | 1.2.Ob | Slur added over the carline to match upbeat of Fl |
| 365 | Vc | dot and accent added to match Hrs |
| 366-367 | Ob | slur added to match other winds |
| 367 | Cl | clearly tied in $\underline{\mathbf{A}}$ |
| 368-370 | 1.2. Hr | slur added to match winds |
| 369-370 | Db | hairpin added |
| 370 | Bn | slur to next bar added as in the other winds. Generally not very clear slurring in this passage in $\underline{A}$ |
|  | Tr | hairpin added |
| 371 | Winds, 1.2.Hr | risoluto only written over Fl in $\underline{\mathbf{A}}$ |
| 371-374 | Winds, 1.2.Hr | accents added wherever missing |
| 377 | Tr | hairpin added |
| 382 | 2.Bn, Db | $m f$ added |
| 382 | Cl | dots added as in Ob |


|  | Bn | $p$ added |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 383 | Bn | $m f$ added |
| 383-384 | $\mathrm{Ob}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Bn}$ | hairpins added to match strings |
| 383-387 | Bn | slurs added to match the other winds |
| 384 | 1.2. Hr | $p$ added |
| 389 | Ob | dynamic added |
| 390 | Vla | natural missing in front of the E |
| 394 | Db | hairpin added |
| 396 | Db | $p p$ added |
| 398 | Tr | $p p$ and soli added |
| 399 | Tr | in A there is a strange marking over the last quarter that looks like a repetition sign with three dots on top of it. This could lead to realising this beat as two eights or even as triplets. It is however just a thoughtless continuation of the dots from the second beat. When realising his mistake the composer crossed out the dots but missed them |
| 400-401 | Bn | accents added to match Db |
| 403 | $\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Bn}$ | $f$ and hairpin added |
| 404 | 1.2.Hr | slur added to match winds |
| 405 | Tr, Trbs | slur added to match winds |
| 408 | Strings | accent added as in b. 406 |
| 413 | Vc, Db | $p p$ added |

## II. Andante cantabile

| 4-5 | 1.Cl, 1.Bn |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| 5-6 |  |
| $11-12$ | Ob |
|  | Ob |
|  | Bn |
|  | $1 . \mathrm{Vl}$ |
|  | $2 . \mathrm{Vl}$ |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| $12-17$ | $\mathrm{Vla}, \mathrm{Db}$ |
| 13 | Tr |
| $13-14$ | $1 . \mathrm{Hr}$ |
| $14-15$ | $3 . \mathrm{Hr}$ |
| 15 | $\mathrm{Vc}, \mathrm{Db}$ |
|  | Fl |
| $15-16$ | $\mathrm{Fg}, 3.4 . \mathrm{Hr}$ |
| $15-17$ | Fl |
|  |  |
| 17 | Cl |
|  | Cl |
|  |  |

the tie in A seem to go all the way to the end of the bar. Not however in 2.Bn or in the preceding bars nor in many of the parallel places, where the last quarter is tied to the next phrase. Thus corrected dynamics added
cresc. adjusted to other instruments. (In A peak on 4th quarter of b.11)
dynamics added
slurring changed to match winds and other strings
dynamics added according to 1 st Vl . In
1.Vl Norman writes cresc. over bar 11
which seems redundant with hairpins
and the immediately following p
hairpins added
pp added as in the beginning of the movement
hairpins added
slur added
slur added
slurs differently from $1 . \mathrm{Vl}$ in A - left unchanged
hairpins added to match Vla
unclear slurring. Difference to $1 . \mathrm{Vl}$ left intact. Slur added from last quarter to next bar as Vla.
4th quarter slurs added ties and dynamics added dots added to match previous bar

| 17-18 | Db | hairpin added |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18-19 | Bn | dynamics added |
| 19-20 | Str, Cl, Bn | slurs unclear since bar 20 is a new page |
|  |  | in A. Norman writes the slur from the |
|  |  | 4th quarter of b. 19 far over the bar line |
|  |  | as if they were to continue. But on the |
|  |  | new page in b. 20 he starts a new slur |
|  |  | from the note, no slurs in the doubling |
|  |  | parts in Cl and Bn . We decided to slur |
|  |  | the 4th quarter to the next bar in the |
|  |  | strings and to correct the Cl and Bn |
|  |  | accordingly. 1.Bn slurs corrected as 1.Cl. |
|  |  | $2 . \mathrm{Vl}$ slur corrected as in $2 . \mathrm{Cl}$ |
| 21-22 | Vl | In A slurring of $\mathrm{Vls}^{\text {is unclear and seems }}$ |
|  |  | to stop on dotted eighth. Corrected to |
|  |  | the end of the bar. 2.Vl, Vla dynamics |
|  |  | added as 2. Vl |
|  | Vla | slur added |
| 24 | Strings | between 1.Vl and 2. Vl Norman writes |
|  |  | another small agitato. We interpret this |
|  |  | as belonging all Vls and extend it to Vla |
|  |  | and Vc who also play triplets |
| 24-25 | Bn | hairpins added |
| 27 | Ob | $m f$ and hairpin added |
|  | Vla | $m f$ and hairpin added |
|  | Vc | $m f$ added |
| 27-28 | 2.Vl | slur added |
| 28 | $\mathrm{Fl}, \mathrm{Ob}, \mathrm{Cl}$ | $f$ added to match strings |
|  | $\mathrm{Ob}, \mathrm{Cl}$ | accent added to match $2 . \mathrm{Vl}$ and Vc |
|  | Vla | hairpin added |
|  | Vla, Db | $f$ added |
| 29-30 | Vls, Fl | unclear slurring. We decided not to slur |
|  |  | over the bar-line since the phrase |
|  |  | continues differently in this place from |
|  |  | other times. A allows this interpretation |
| 30 | 2.Vl | hairpin added |
|  | Vla | hairpin added |
| 31 | $\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Bn}$ | $m f$ added |
|  | Bn | accents added as in Cl |
| 32 | Ob | $m f$ added |
| 33-34 | 2.Bn | Bn slur added |
|  | OB | slurs are unclear in A |
| 33 | Vla, Vc | slur added as in 2.Vl |
| 34 | Ob | Ob slurs added E-flat to A-flat. |
|  | Vc | Vc slur in A (A-flat, G, D-flat |
|  |  | and possibly beyond) changed to match |
|  |  | Bn. 2. Vl and Vla cresc. added as in 1st Vl. |
|  | Ob, Cl, 2.Vl | hairpin added |
| 34 | Ob | In A you can see that Norman added an E- |
|  |  | flat one octave below the sixth note in the |
|  |  | measure (en eighth note E-flat). In MK there |
|  |  | are two stems on the higher E-flat. It makes |
|  |  | mote sense to have the oboes in octaves from |
|  |  | the beginning of the phrase. |
| 34-35 | Ob | Ob last eighth slurred to b. 35 like the |
|  |  | other winds |
|  | Vla | Vla not slurred in A. Dotted Slur added |
|  |  | to match Bn, VC. However Vla doesn't |


| 34/35 | Fl, Ob, Cl Bn |
| :---: | :---: |
| 36 | Vla |
| 36-37 | $\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Bn}$ |
| 37-38 | $\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Bn}$ |
| 38-39 | $\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Bn}$ |
| 40 | 1.Hr |
| 42 | 1.2. Hr |
| 43 | 3.4.Hr |
| 44 | Tr |
| $\begin{aligned} & 44 / 45 \\ & 44-46 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3.4.Hr } \\ & \text { 2.3.Trb } \end{aligned}$ |
| 46-47 | Brass |
| 47 | brass |
| $\begin{aligned} & 57-58 \\ & 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Tr} \\ & \text { 1.2.Vl, Vla } \\ & \mathrm{Vla} \\ & \mathrm{Vc}, \mathrm{Db} \end{aligned}$ |
| 61 | Brass, Winds |
| 65 | Tp |
|  | Vls |
| 66 | 2.Vl, Vla |

match anyone literally and no slur would also be convincing
because of the confusing sequence of $f$-cresc. - $f$ we decided to move the f to the first beat of b .35 to match Vls. Possibly Norman lost track of this redundancy because of the page break in M between b. 34 and b. 35
$f$ added
slurring ambiguous. Unified to match idem
unified (In M Bn are not slurred in b.38)
diminuendo sempre added
in A slurred for the whole bar, no dots. Changed to match brass and b. 44 accents added
slur from first to second note deleted to match other brass
articulation added
very ambiguous slurring. However the writing is very sloppy and nothing suggests that Norman is looking for cross phrasing in this maestoso passage. The phrasing was thus unified leaving only repeated notes unslurred
slurring unified, Repeated notes left unslurred. 2.3.Trb longer slur as A seems to suggest
slur prolonged to the 4th beat to match horns
slur added
unclear slurring
accent added to match VC and Db
Last note of the bar slurred to the next to match violas
$f$ e molto marcato added to all brass and winds. For the whole following passage (up to b.69) accents are added everywhere. Norman doesn't write them for some instruments or leaves them out on certain notes. However there doesn't appear to be any logic to it. Also $s f$ where added to match sharp missing on last beat accent added like Vla
The fifth triplet eighth note (c2) is clearly marked with a natural sign in A, but in Vla II one octave below this sign is missing. In MK and A there is a natural sign on the fifth eighth note in Vl. II. (That is, the note is not $C$-sharp, but $C$ ). In this case there should also be a natural sign on the lower voice of Vle. In MK there is no natural sign in Vle. 2. A added a natural sign to Vle., and both VI. II and Vle. 2 should play $C$ in A.

| 67/68 | $\mathrm{Bn}, 3.4 . \mathrm{Hr}, \mathrm{Tb}$ | the sf were added to match the first phrase. Norman doesn't write any in these two bars and continues with accents throughout |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | V1 | Slurring unclear. Because they have parallel lines there is nothing that would justify cross-phrasing. 2. Vl are thus changed to match $1 . \mathrm{Vl}$ |
| 69 | Vla | changed to match Vl for the same reason |
| 70-73 | 2.Vl, Vla | slurring adjusted to match $1 . \mathrm{Vl}$. The "col violini" (sic.) marking in A seems to suggest that. There is also no apparent logic to a differing phrasings between strings |
| 79 | 3.4.Hr | dynamic added |
| 79-87 | 2.Vl | after two bars of violins in octaves norman only writes col $I V l$. on the new page he repeats this with addition in $8 v a$. It's save to assume that he meant for the entire passage to be in octaves |
| 80-82 | Bn | slurring unclear. We interrupted it after the first D in b. 81 to re-articulate the second D. The first part of the phrase now matches the violins and the rest matches 3.4.horn |
| 82 | Ob | $p$ added in Ob as a reminder |
|  | Hr | $p$ added |
| 83-84 | Vl | unclear slurring changed to match winds |
| 86 | Vla | added a quarter F on first beat <br> like cellos. It just seems too abrupt without and there is no good reason discernible since Vla and Vc are in perfect unison for the whole passage. In A and MK the bar is empty |
|  | Vla, Vc, Db | $p p$ added |
| 87 | 1.2.Vl | accent added to match Bn |
|  | Timp | p added matching Hr |
| 89 | Cl | unclear slurring. It could mean that there is a new slur starting from the second beat. We interpret it as one long phrase |
| 90/91 | Vl | $p p$ added as a reminder |
| 92 | 4.Hr | $p p$ added |
| 93 | Timp | 3. and 4.quarter of the bar look as though they have repetition signs. Corrected to regular quarter notes |
| 94 | 2.Vc | slurring changed and dots under the slur added because of the repeated B-flat |
| 96 | Db | slurring added as in Vc |
| 97 | V1 | slurring changed to match Vc |
|  | Bn | $m f$ added, hairpins added |
| 99 | $\mathrm{Ob}, \mathrm{Cl}$ | $m f$ added |
| 100 | Cl, Bn, Vla, Db | hairpins added |
| 102 | 1. Hr | $p$ added |
| 104 | Cl | $p$ added |
| 104/105 | $\mathrm{Bn}, 1 . \mathrm{Hr}$ | hairpin added |
|  | $\mathrm{Ob}, \mathrm{Bn}$ | hairpins corrected to match strings |



\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 134-135 \& 1.Cl \& G-G tied over the bar-line like 2.Ob <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{135} \& Bn \& slur added <br>
\hline \& Db \& dynamic matched to VC <br>
\hline 135-136 \& $2 . \mathrm{Ob}$ \& slur from D to E not conclusive in A but makes sense with the other parts <br>
\hline 137 \& 2.Bn

$3.4 . \mathrm{Hr}$ \& in MK the 3rd beat is a G. A is ambiguous but it looks more like an F and in our opinion also sounds better like like that accent added <br>
\hline 138 \& 1.2. Hr \& slur added <br>
\hline 140 \& 2.Vl, Vla, Vc \& hairpins added <br>
\hline 144-146 \& Vls, Vla \& slur added/corrected to match winds <br>
\hline 145 \& Bn \& hairpin added as in Cl <br>

\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{146} \& 1.Vl \& | 4th beat slurred to next bar to match |
| :--- |
| 2. Vl and Vla | <br>

\hline \& Vc \& $p$ in beginning of this bar deleted as redundant <br>
\hline 147 \& Vc \& $p p$ added as in the other strings <br>
\hline 150 \& 2.V1 \& $p p$ added <br>
\hline 151 \& Timp \& $p p$ added. The trill in A extends over the whole bar. The notation of half note followed by a quarter suggests however that the trill is intended only for the first half of the bar with the quarter being a separate note. MK comes to the same conclusion <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

## III. Allegretto molto comodo

| 1 | Tutti |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| 2 | Vc |
| 6 | Ob |
|  | $1 . \mathrm{Vl}$ |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| 9 | $1 . \mathrm{Hr}$ |
| 10 | Winds |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| 12 | Fg |
| 13 | Fg |
| $12-15$ | $\mathrm{Hr}, \mathrm{Tp}$ |
|  |  |
| 14 | $1 . \mathrm{Vl}$ |

misspelling of comodo (commodo in A) in tempo marking corrected
$p$ added
$p$ added
the slurring over trill and gruppetto is very inconsistent throughout the movement. Sometimes it extends over the whole passage, sometimes only over the gruppetto, other times it stops after the gruppetto. We decided to slur the the note with trill, the gruppetto and the arrival note together and to unify this reading for the whole movement $p$ added
slurring hard to read. The upbeat seems to be slurred only in those instruments which continue the eighth note line. That's also how MK interprets it. It could also just be sloppy writing and the upbeat should be separated for everyone accents added $s f$ added to match Cl staccato in A only on single notes without logic. Added everywhere to match winds and strings there appears to be a ten. written over the A in $1 . \mathrm{Vl}$. The note originally had a dot which Norman crossed out and slurred to the next. To clarify this he added the ten but never did this in

| 14-15 | $\mathrm{Ob}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Bn}$ | Ob staccato added on last eighth of b .15 to match Hr . Cl and Bn idem. Cl staccato added in b .14 to match Ob |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 | $\mathrm{Vc}, \mathrm{Db}$ | staccato on last eighth added |
| 16 | Vla | $s f$ added on second eighth |
|  | 1.Vl | there is a sempre after the $f$ in A. Because of the crescendo that seemed redundant and was omitted. |
| 17 | Hr | $s f$ added to match Bn |
|  | Db | $f$ and accent could be an oversight and it would be a more unified reading to change it into $s f$. It might also be a balance consideration. Left unchanged. |
| 18 | 2.Fl | slur changed to staccato matching Cl and Bn |
|  | $2 . \mathrm{Ob}$ | staccato dots added for the same reason |
| 19 | 1. Fl | In MK the second note in the upper voice (thirty-second note) is $F$. But $F$ doesn't fit here. (See Fag., Vle., and Vc.) Norman likely missed a sharp sign or a ledger line. A added a ledger line an $A$ is notated there. There are two reasons it would be logical to choose $A$ there: |
|  |  | 1) See Ob. in the same measure. |
|  |  | 2) See the strings in measure 20 . One could see this as a reply to Fl . and Ob . in measure 18. |
| 21 | Tri | $p p$ added |
| 23-25 | Bn | staccato dots added |
| 24-25 | Fl | slur over trill added |
| 25 | 1.Cl, 3. Hr | accent added to match Fl |
| 25-26 | 1.Fl, 1.Cl, 3.Hr | slur added |
| 26 | Bn | accent added |
|  | Hr | $m f$ added |
|  | Tr | removed tie to nothing |
| 27-30 | Strings | staccato dots added wherever missing for the whole passage |
| 29 | Cl | grace notes added to match Fl |
| 29-30 | Bn | staccato added |
| 30 | Winds | accent added to all winds (in A only Fl ) |
| 31 | Winds, Vla, Tp | Winds: In A only an accent in Cl . Changed to $s f$ and $s f$ added in $\mathrm{Fl}, \mathrm{Ob}$ and Bn to match strings. Hairpin idem |
|  | Vla | $s f$ and hairpin added |
|  | Tr | soli added to match Hr |
| 31-32 | Tutti | staccato dots on first beat of b. 31 and $b$. 32 in A only sporadic. Added to all instruments to match and to unify with similar passages |
| 32 | Fl | p added |
|  | Vls | a slur from $F$ to $A$ has been cancelled by Norman. Staccato dots added to match winds |
| 33 | Tr | hairpin added to match Hrn. |
|  | 2. Vl | staccato added to match 1.Vl |
| 33-34 | $\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Vls}$ | gruppetto added after the slur like Fl |
| 34 | Vls | $s f$ added to match Vc in b. 35 |

$39-41$
$41-42$
42
$42-4$
45
46
49

50
51

52
53

59

59-74
60-61
61
Strings
1.2.Hrn

Winds

## 64

67

Bn
Ob
1.Vl

Hr
$s f$ added to match Vc
hairpin added matching 1.V1
In MK the fourth note in the lower part is F
(there is a natural sign). But F
(sounding E-flat) doesn't work there.
(See Ob. And Vl. 2) We adjusted
the note to F-sharp (sounding E) and
add a sharp sign instead of a natural sign. In
A there is a sharp sign.
slur added to match Vc
hairpin added to match winds
$p p$ added
staccato dots added throughout
$p$ changed to $p p$
staccato dots added
2. Bn slur added to match other winds.

Dots under slur only 1.Bn because of repeated note
staccato added
$p$ added
staccato added on first note to match
similar passages
staccato added
staccato added on sixteenth notes dynamic added to match Vc and Db staccato on sixteenths and eighths added throughout
dynamics changed and added to match winds
$f$ moved to the first beat of b .54 to match winds. Hairpin up to there clearly staccato and no trill, differing from strings. Contrast left unchanged gruppetto added to match $1 . \mathrm{Vl}$ staccato on last eights in A molto marcato only in $\mathrm{Hr}, 1 . \mathrm{Vl}$ and Db . In Vce risoluto. Unified into $e$ molto marcato and added to all instruments
staccato dots added everywhere staccato removed in b. 60 and slur added over both bars to match tutti
winds: Even though the slurring is very clearly different in strings and winds in A it was unified for this edition. No apparent logic could be disconcerted and there are too many seemingly careless inconsistencies throughout the piece. Vls have the clearest slurring in A and were taken as reference. The fact that Hr drops out of the texture after the third eighth seems to justify this choice. Also the barring was changed to further clarify the phrasing. In MK the passage is slurred by the bar
slurring matched to Ob and Hr
$f z$ changed to accent
$f z$ moved to next bar
$f z$ added

| 69-70 | Fl, 2.Vl |
| :---: | :---: |
| 70 | Vla, Vc, Db |
| 71 | $\mathrm{Ob}, \mathrm{Cl}$ |
| 72 | 1.Fg |
| 74 | Winds |
| 74-76 | 1.2.Hr |
| 77 | Cl |
| 79 | Winds, 1.2.Hr |
| 79-80 | 1.Vl |
| 79-86 | Strings |
| 83 | Fl |
| 84 | $\mathrm{Ob}, \mathrm{Cl}$ |
| 85 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Bn}, 2 . \mathrm{Vl} \\ & \text { 1.2. } \mathrm{Hr} \end{aligned}$ |
| 87-88 | Db |
| 89 | $\mathrm{Ob}, \mathrm{Cl}$ |
|  | Bn |
| 91 | Winds, Hr, 1.Vl |
| 94 | Fl |
| 90-96 | Strings |
| 98 | 1.2.Hr |
| 98-99 | Strings |
| 99 | Bn |
|  | 1.2. Hr |
| 101-102 | 1.2. $\mathrm{Hr}, 1 . \mathrm{Vl}$ |
| 103 | Bn |
|  | Tri |
|  | Str |
| 104 | Bn, 3.4.Hr |
| 105 | Fl |
| 106 | Fl, 2.Ob |
| 106-107 | Vls |
| 107 | $1 . \mathrm{Ob}$ |
| 108-110 | Ob |
| 109 | Tri |
| 110 | Ob |
|  | Hr |
| 112 | $\mathrm{Bn}, \mathrm{Hr}$ |
| 112-115 | Winds, Hr, Tp |
| 114 | 3.4.Hr |
| 115 | Vls , Vla |
|  | Ob, Bn, Hr, Vla, Vc |
| 116 | Bn |
|  | Str, Hr |
| 116-118 | Str |
| 117 | Fl |
| 118 | $\mathrm{Fl}, \mathrm{Ob}, \mathrm{Bn}$ |

dots and slurs added to match 1.Vl
hairpin to match Vl
staccato added
staccato to match other winds
hairpin unified
dynamics added to match winds. Tie added in 2.Hr to match Str
$m f$ added
$s f$ added on last eighth to match consequent passage in b. 83
$s f$ added to match winds
staccato dots added everywhere accent on top of $s f$ deleted as redundant
$\mathrm{Ob}, \mathrm{Cl}: s f$ added, staccato added in Cl to match Fl
swell added
$p$ added
tie added
slurring very hard to read. $2 . \mathrm{Cl}$ and Ob matched to $\mathrm{Fl}, 1 . \mathrm{Cl}$ matched to $1 . \mathrm{Vl}$.
Hairpins added to match tutti
hairpins added extended to next bar accents added to match Fl
slurring adjusted to match other winds staccato dots added everywhere $p$ added
unclear slurring in A. Unified for this edition. Clearly different phrasing from winds was preserved in this case because they have independent voices here
hairpin added
slur added
staccato dots added
staccato dots added
$p p$ added
dim. added to match winds in b. 102
staccato dots added
staccato added
staccato added on last eighth
slur added
slur to b. 108 added to match Bn slur adjusted to match Hr
cautionary $p p$ added
accent added to match Hr
hairpins added to match Ob
$f$ added
missing staccato dots filled in
slur added to match Vla and Bn
accent changed into $s f$ to match
everyone else
staccato added on last eights to match
Tr and Db
$s f$ added
Staccato added on first eights to match winds
$s f$ and slur added to large intervals as in previous passages
$f$ added
hairpin added

| 118-119 | 2.Vl, Vla, Vc | e grazioso added |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 119 | 2.V1 | staccato added |
| 119-122 | Db | slur added |
| 120 | 2.Vl, Vla | staccato added |
| 120-121 | 2.Vl, Vla, Vc | hairpins added |
| 122 | 1.Cl | cautionary $p$ added |
| 123 | 1.2.Hr | cautionary $p$ added |
|  | Vla, Vc | slurs and staccato dots added |
| 124 | Cl | staccato added |
| 125 | Db | slur to next bar removed as everyone else has staccato |
| 126 | 1.2. Hr | slur added |
| 127-128 | $\mathrm{Hr}, \mathrm{Tp}$ | staccato dots added |
| 129 | Tutti | placement of the $f$ on the last eighth of b. 129 unified for the whole orchestra to match Fl and strings |
| 131 | 1.Cl | $p$ added |
| 132-133 | $\mathrm{Fl}, \mathrm{Cl}$ | slur added |
| 133 | 2. Cl | $p$ added |
| 134-135 | 2.Fl | In A one sees faint notes in the lower voice from the pickup to measure 135. In MK there is an eighth note $A$-flat notated at the end of measure 134 and the half note $G$ is in the lower voice of measure 135 (ie Fl. 2). We decided to go with the pencil addition |
| 135 | Ob | removed the crescendo-hairpin on the staccato upbeat in A as it seemed impractical |
| 137 | Vc, Db | slur added from C to D |
|  | Tri | $p$ added |
| 138 | Vla | dots and $p$ added to match Vls |
| 139 | Cl | upbeat eighth on D added to match Fl. |
| 141 | $1 . \mathrm{Bn}$ | $f$ changed to $f z$ to match winds |
| 145 | Tri | $p p$ added |
| 150 | Winds, Hr, Vls | staccato dots added. In A and MK without |
| 150-151 | 2.Vl, Vla, Vc, Db | staccto dots added |
| 151 | $\mathrm{Hr}, \mathrm{Vls}$ | accent added to match winds |
| 152 | Ob, Cl, Tp, Vla, |  |
|  | Vc, Db | staccato dots added |
|  | Timp | hairpin added |

## IV. Allegro molto e con brio

## 2-9 Tutti

ties and slurs don't seem to follow a clear pattern in these sorts of passages. Does Norman want repeated notes to be tied in or slight articulated to support the changing notes rhythmically. That would seem like an interesting solution. But later into the passage he starts to write dotted half notes when both parts on one system stay on the same note. But why didn't he do the same in Ob b.4? We have to leave this to the performers. Passage is no marked with slurs when the note changes and ties when it stays the same. Whenever

|  |  | Norman writes dotted half notes we respected that |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | Timp | $f$ added |
| 3-4 | Vc | the A tied over the bar line in A was changed to a staccato upbeat and accent on the downbeat to match Db and tutti |
| 3-8 | Tutti | accent, dots and slurs added wherever missing |
| 7 | 1.2.Vl | accents and dots added |
| 8 | $\mathrm{Hr}, \mathrm{Vla}, \mathrm{Vc}, \mathrm{Db}$ <br> Strings | accent changed to $f z$ to match tutti dots added |
| 9 | Tutti | in A 1.Trb has a tie between the two notes in bar 8 |
|  | $1 . \mathrm{Vl}$ Vla, Vc, Db | $p$ e scherzando below the system changed to $p$ below and scherzando above it. Mostly for layout reasons $f z$ added |
| 10-17 | Str | in M the two eights notes on the first and third beat of every bar are slurred in all strings. However in all the later appearances of the theme only the second group of eights has the slur. In the recapitulation Norman even corrects that and cancels the first slur. This latter articulation is more interesting and indeed avoids a slightly tedious stressing of every half bar which is why we decided to adopt this articulation for the first statement of the theme as well |
| 13-14 | Cl | in A there is a slur from B-flat to C. This was deleted to match Bn and Vla and to make the syncopation clearer. Same solution in MK |
| 17 | $\mathrm{Hr}, \mathrm{Tr}$ | accents added |
| 18 | $\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Bn}, 2 . \mathrm{Vl}$ | accents added |
| 19 | Strings | staccato dot added to match winds |
| 19-20 | Bn | $f$ moved from first beat of b .20 to fourth beat of b .19 |
| 20 | 2.Fl | first is E in A. Should be F-sharp |
|  | Vc | $f$ added |
| 21-22 | Tr, Trb | $f z$ added to match Hr |
|  | Timp | accents added to match tutti |
| 22 | Db | in A only accents. Accent on third beat changed to $f z$ to match tutti |
| 26 | Hr | staccato dots added to match Tr |
| 27-29 | Vls | staccato added always on the second half of bars |
| 29 | Hr | $p$ added to match Tr |
| 30 | Hr | $p$ deleted as redundant |
| 30-34 | Vla | staccato dots added wherever missing |
| 31 | Timp | $p$ added as a reminder |
| 32 | Vls | phrasing not clear. Slur could also go to the third beat. However in b .48 the writing is clearer and the slur of $b .32$ was adjusted accordingly to the middle of the bar |
| 34 | 1.2. Hr | staccato dots added to match Tr |
|  | 3.4.Hr | $p$ added as a reminder |
| 34-36 | Vls | staccato dots added wherever missing |


| 37 | Str | hairpin added to match winds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 42 | Cl | $m f$ added to match Ob |
| 44 $44-46$ | $\mathrm{Vls}, \mathrm{Vla}$ Cl | $p$ in Vls corrected to $m f$ to match winds. Added to Vla as well |
| 45 | Bn | hairpin added to match $\mathrm{Vc}, \mathrm{Db}$ |
| 47 | 2nd Winds | slurring matched to Cl |
| 46-49 | $\mathrm{Hr}, \mathrm{Tp}$ | staccato dots added |
| 49 | Winds | accents added to 2 . winds as in previous passage Articulation idem. In A 1.Fl phrases over the whole passage, different from everyone else. Since there doesn't appear to be a logic to this the flute slurring was matched to the other winds. Very unclear notes in A. Resolved according to MK |
| 52 | 1.2.Hr | in $\mathbf{A} f z$ on second half of the bar. changed to match tutti. |
| 52-53 | Bn | accents added |
| 54 | Cl | accent added to match Fl |
|  | Brass | accents added to match winds |
| 56-58 | 2.Cl | slurring changed to match $1 . \mathrm{Ob}$ |
| 59-61 | Cl | hairpins added |
| 63 | Strings | e grazioso added to 2.Vl, sempre added to Vla and Db |
| 67-70 | Bn | slur added |
| 69 | 1.Cl | natural added on second beat |
| 74 | $\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Bn}$ | accents added to match Vla |
|  | 2.Cl | In MK the notes on the last two beats in the lower voice are the quarter notes B-A. In A it is clear that Norman crossed out the half note D . If you look at the Cl . In measures 70-75 in A you can see that Norman first writes the same notes as Vl.I one octave lower (that is the same as Vl. II), then he crossed them out and wrote the same notes as Fag. and Vle. One octave higher. The notes in the lower voice in the last two beats of measure 74 are unclear in MK, but if you think about the compositional compress, it would be natural to interpret it as in A. The natural sign in measure 74 also shows that there must be a B in the lower voice. You can also see that Norman crossed out the half note E on the first beat of measure 74. But the stem that goes down from the half note E could show that Norman wanted that note in the lower voice (Cl. 2) |
| 74-76 | Vla | slur added to match Cl and Bn |
| 76-77 | Bn | slur added |
| 81 | 3.4.Hr | $p$ added for 4.Hr |
| 90 | 3.4.Hr | $p$ added |
| 90-91 | $\mathrm{Ob}, \mathrm{Cl}$ | slur changed to match Fl |
| 96-97 | $\mathrm{Fl}, \mathrm{Ob}, \mathrm{Cl}$ | there appears to be a tie to the next bar in M. However many brass instruments also stay on the same note but have rearticulations and even accents. Winds have been changed to match brass |
| 96-99 | 2.Vl, Vla | hairpin added to match $1 . \mathrm{Vl}$ |


| 98 |  | Timp | roll added to this half note. If Norman would have meant a final beat he would have written a quarter |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 98-99 |  | Vls, Vla | accents continued |
| 98-100 |  | Bn | accents added |
| 99-100 |  | Hr | accents added |
| 101 |  | Timp | $f f$ added. It was cancelled together with two notes in the previous bar, however the dynamic must match the tutti here and not having re-written it in this bar was probably just an oversight |
| 101-107 |  | Tutti | accents and dots completed wherever missing. In A there are no accents in the brass during this passage apart from Tr in b.106. But since everyone else has them and since the brass had them as well in the preceding passage the accents are continued here |
| 102 |  | 1.Bn | sharp added to second half of the bar |
| 103 |  | Vla, Vc, Db | staccato dots added |
| 105-107 | Vla |  | accents added to match Vc and Db |
| 107 |  | Tbs, Vla, Vc, Db | staccato dots added |
|  |  | Tb | The last note is e1 in MK; see Cor. I \& II, Fag. etc.In A the last note is D. Here the dominant chord to A major sounds in the next measure, and D in the $\operatorname{Tbn} \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{A})$ as a seventh could work there. But if you look at the Cl., Fag., and Vle., it is also logical that MK would have adjusted the note to E . This edition follows MK |
| 108-111 |  | Tutti | $f z$ filled in wherever missing |
| 110-111 |  | Vls, Vla | arpeggio in A only in 1.Vl b. 110 |
| 112 |  | Timp | $f z$ added |
| 114 |  | Timp | roll added to this half note. See b. 98 |
| 123 |  | $\mathrm{Vc}, \mathrm{Db}$ | accents added to match Vls and Vla |
| 124 |  | $1 . \mathrm{Vl}$ | In MAthere is a $p$ on the first beat and then a $p$ leggiero on the second beat. It looks like a single pp leggiero which doesn't make sense given that everyone else starts this motive with $p$ leggiero. Changed to $p$ on the first beat and then simply leggiero |
|  |  | Cl | $p$ added |
| 124-127 |  | Timp, Vc, Db | dots completed |
| 126 |  | $\mathrm{Fl}, \mathrm{Ob}, \mathrm{Cl}$ | dots completed |
| 127 |  | Cl | soli added |
| 135 |  | Cl | hairpin added |
| 136 |  | Bn | $f$ changed to $s f$ to match winds |
| 136-138 | $3 . \mathrm{Tb}$ | $\mathrm{Vc}, \mathrm{Db}$ | $s f$ added on first beat to match 3.Trb $f z$ completed to match basses |
| 137 |  | Winds | sempre fand marcato advanced by half a bar to clarify that it functions as a continuation of the $s f$ |
|  |  | Vla | slur on 3rd beat removed |
| 137-140 |  | Winds | accents added to visualise sempre marcato |
| 140 |  | Winds | $f z$ added as in brass |
|  |  | Hr | $f$ changed to $f z$ |
|  |  | Timp | dynamic added |


| 141-143 |  | Winds | accents added. In A only in Fl b. 141 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 142 |  | Bn | flat added on high B |
| 143 |  | Bn | D and G added on third beat. Not legible in A. MK interprets the tiny dots on D and G as a rest. |
| 144-147 |  | Winds, Brass | $f z$ and dots added where missing |
| 147 |  | Tutti | hairpin changed to cresc. for reasons of clarity of the print. Added to all instruments. In A only over the top system of each section |
| 147 |  | Db | $f z$ added |
| 147-150 |  | Hr | accents changed to $f z$ to match everyone else |
| 150 |  | Tr | accents added to match Hr |
| 151-159 |  | Tutti | accents, dots and slurs added throughout to clarify the structure |
| 153-158 |  | Tutti | see b.2-9 |
| 154-155 |  | Vla | hairpin added |
| 158 |  | Tutti | see b. 8 |
| 160 |  | 2.Vl, Vla | $p$ added |
| 163-165 |  | 1.2. Hr | accents and slur added |
| 165-169 |  | Strings | redundant marking of hairpins and cresc. reduced |
| 166-168 |  | Strings | accents filled in wherever missing |
| 167 |  | Ob, Cl, Bn, Hr | accents added to match Fl, Vla and Db |
| 168 |  | Hr | hairpin added to match winds |
| 169 |  | Tr | $f$ changed to $m f$ crescendoing to $f$ |
| 170 |  | Bn | accents added |
|  |  | Winds, Hr | dots added to match strings |
| 171 |  | Hr, Vla, Vc, Db | hairpin added |
| 171-173 |  | $\mathrm{Vc}, \mathrm{Db}$ | dots added |
| 172 |  | Winds, Hr, 2.Vl | accent added to match 1.Vl |
| 177 |  | Tr, Trb | dots and hairpin added to match Hr . |
|  |  | Vc, Db | $f z$ added |
| 178 |  | Hr | octave G crossed out in A. Nothing to replace it which seems odd in this tutti passage. MK suggests octave C |
| 182 |  | Vls | Slur shortened to stop on the first beat. |
| 189 |  | Vc, Db | slur to next bar added as suggested in b. 193-194. MK leaves them unslurred |
| 190 |  | $\mathrm{Hr}, \mathrm{Tr}$ | $t e n$. and $p$ unified |
| 195 |  | 1.2.Vl | $p$ added as a reminder |
| 193 |  | $\mathrm{Fl}, \mathrm{Ob}, \mathrm{Cl}$ | slur between first two eighths added to continue pattern |
| 199 |  | Vc, Db | hairpin added to match Bn |
| 200 |  | Tr | $f$ changed to $s f$ to match winds and Hr |
| 201 |  | Vla | hairpin added |
| 207 |  | Cl | hairpin added |
| 213-217 | Vc |  | hairpin added |
| 214 |  | Db | hairpin added |
| 217 |  | Hr | hairpin added to match Cl and Bn |
| 218 |  | Fl | dolce added |
|  |  | Vc, Db | $p$ added |
| 220-221 | Vla |  | hairpin added to match Cl |
| 221 |  | Fl, Vls | hairpins added as in previous two bars |
| 222 |  | 3.Trb | accent added |
| 224 |  | 3.Trb | accent added |
| 226-227 | Vla |  | slur and $p$ added |
| 229 |  | 2. Vl | slur separated to match $1 . \mathrm{Vl}$ |


| 230 | Winds | e marcato added to all winds because they are all thematic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 232 | $\mathrm{Fl}, \mathrm{Ob}, \mathrm{Cl}$ | two tied half notes on the same pitch changed to whole note for cleaner layout |
| 232-235 | Vla | hairpins changed and added to match basses |
| 234-235 | $\mathrm{Fl}, \mathrm{Ob}, \mathrm{Cl}$ | accents added to match Vls |
|  | Vls | accents added to continue the pattern |
| 237 | Hr | accent added. Slur in A looks as though going through to the 3rd beat |
| 243 | 2.Fl, 2.Cl | tie between 2 nd and 3 rd beat deleted to clarify the general phrasing and to match |
| 245 | 2. Cl | second half of the bar should be an $F$. Flat added before the written $G$ |
| 246 | Tp | accent and $f$ added to match Hr |
| 247 | $\mathrm{Hr}, \mathrm{Tr}$ | dots added to match previous similar passages (b.238) |
|  | Vc, Db | slur added to match Bn |
| 247-249 | Winds | slurs added |
| 248 | Bn, Vc, Db | $s f$ added |
| 249 | Trb | $f f$ in A. This seems excessive and too early in comparison to the other dynamics, Reduced to $f$ |
| 250 | $\mathrm{Bn}, \mathrm{Tp}$ | $f f$ added |
| 251-252 | Bn, Tr, Vla | in A the accent on the half note is not consistently written in all instruments. In b. 51 it's only in Vla, in b. 52 only in Tr |
| 252 | Hr | there is a $f f$ and a $s f$ in this bar in $\mathbf{A}$, which we changed to ff and accent as in the winds |
|  | Tr | accents deleted on the first half of the bar to match Bn and to continue the pattern |
| 253 | $\mathrm{Fl}, \mathrm{Ob}, \mathrm{Cl}$ | accents instead of dots to match the tutti |
| 254 | Trb | $f f$ added |
| 255 | 3.4.Hr | $f f$ added |
| 256 | Tr | staccato dots added |
| 256-257 | Tutti | A is inconclusive as to who has dots and who has accents |
|  | Db | accents added |
| 257 | Trbs | dots instead of accents to match winds |
| 257-267 | Winds | accents and dots added wherever missing |
| 258 | Fl | marcato added to match Hr |
|  | $\mathrm{Ob}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Tp}, \mathrm{Tbs}$ | dots added to match Fl and Bn |
|  | Tr, Trb | dots added, marcato added in Tr to match Hr |
| 258-262 | $\mathrm{Ob}, \mathrm{Cl}$ | $s f$ added to match Hr and strings |
|  | Vc, Db | accents added |
| 259-261 | Timp | accents |
| 260-261 | $3.4 . \mathrm{Hr}$ | sf added to continue the pattern |
| 263-265 | Trb | accents added to match strings |
| 267 | Winds, Hr, Strings | accents changed to $f z$ to match brass |
| 268 | Tr, Trb | accents added |
| 269 | 3.4.Hr | in A this C is on the first half of the bar. Seems odd and MK also comes to the |


| 281 | Cl | half. Clearly a unison in A however sempre added to match Fl |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 285 | $\mathrm{Fl}, \mathrm{Cl}$ | $p$ added |
| 286 | Ob | $m f$ in A changed to p to match everyone else |
|  | 1.2.Hr | dynamics added to match Strings and Timp |
| 288-296 | Tutti | dots, slurs and $s f$ added to unify phrasing and articulation |
| 290 | Winds | $f$ on 1 st beat changed to $s f$ to match brass. $f$ moved to 4th beat |
|  | Vla, Vc, Db | $s f$ added on 1st beat, $f$ added on 4th beat |
|  | Tutti | staccato dot added on 4th beat |
| 290-294 | Vla, Vc | hairpins added |
| 291 | Bn | slurs added |
| 291-295 | Tutti | see b.2-9 |
| 293 | Bn | accents added on 4th beat as in previous similar passages |
| 294 | Vla | In A the eighth note E is notated on the fourth beat, but the chord G major sounds in this measure and the Vle. Continues to play G major in arpeggio. The note should beyond a doubt be D. MK adjusted the note to D . We followed that for this edition |
| 295 | $\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Hr}, \mathrm{Tr}, \mathrm{Vls}$ Winds | accent added on 1 st beat staccato dot added on 4th beat |
| 296 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Tr} \\ & 2 . \mathrm{Vl} \end{aligned}$ | marcato added to match Hr tie to next bar deleted. it was part of an earlier ending where the next bar was the same note. Even though everyone else is tied it seems impractical for $2 . \mathrm{Vls}$ because of the broken chord in the beginning of the bar |
| 297 | Cl <br> Bn, Tbs | hairpin added <br> slur into the $f z$ of the next bar removed to match Vla |
|  | 1.2. Hr | dots added |
| 298 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3.Trb } \\ & \text { Vls, Vc } \\ & \text { 3.4.Hr, 1.2.Vl, Vc } \end{aligned}$ | $f z$ in pedal point removed as in Db repetitions added hairpin added |
| 299 | 3.4.Hr | dots added |
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